Appendix 5: Equalities Impact Assessment

Durham County Council Equality Impact Assessment

NB: The Public Sector Equality Duty (Equality Act 2010) requires Durham County Council to have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between people from different groups. Assessing impact on equality and recording this is one of the key ways in which we can show due regard.

Section One: Description and Screening

Service/Team or Section	Financial Services, School Funding Team
Lead Officer	David Shirer
Title	Mainstream primary and secondary formula 2020-21
MTFP Reference (if relevant)	
Cabinet Date (if relevant)	11 December 2019
Start Date	1 April 2020
Review Date	

Subject of the Impact Assessment

Please give a brief description of the policy, proposal or practice as appropriate (a copy of the subject can be attached or insert a web-link):

The Council is required to set a local formula to distribute funding to mainstream primary and secondary schools, including academies. Government policy is to replace local formulas with the National Funding Formula (NFF). There is no date for the replacement of local formulas, but it will be no earlier than 2021-22.

Since 2018-19 the local formula in Durham has used a transitional version, which recognises the need to reduce differences between the local formula and the NFF, in order to avoid excessive funding turbulence when the NFF replaces local formulas, whilst also not making commitments so far in advance of confirmation of the date of the replacement of local formulas and the final version of the NFF.

A national EIA for the NFF is available through the gov.uk website:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-funding-formula-for-schools-and-high-needs (Pages 17 to 19)

This is relevant, because the transitional option, is based on the NFF.

The council has also considered options for the level of protection provided through the Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG), which limits changes in funding per pupil arising from changes to the formula. In the past it has been used to limit reductions in funding from year-to-year. However, for 2020-21, it will be used to guarantee a minimum increase in funding per pupil.

Local authorities will be able to set a minimum increase in a range from 0.5% to 1.84%.

The MFG is funded by capping increases in funding per pupil for other schools, so setting a higher rate for the MFG will benefit some schools, but disadvantage others.

The council is minded to adopt a transitional rate for the MFG for 2020-21, which would be 1.17%, the mid-point between the minimum and maximum of the permitted range.

The effect of the MFG options on funding is limited:

MFG funding and cap on increases in funding per pupil	MFG = 0.5%	MFG = 1.0%	MFG = 1.17%	MFG = 1.5%	MFG = 1.84%
Funding provided through MFG (£, rounded)	103,000	119,000	125,000	145,000	173,000
Cap on increases in funding per pupil	6.71%	6.51%	6.44%	6.27%	6.06%
Number of schools with MFG funding	10	10	11	13	15
Number of schools that have funding capped	6	11	11	16	19

Because of the limited impact this is not considered further in this impact assessment.

Who are the main stakeholders? (e.g. general public, staff, members, specific clients/service users):

Pupils, their families and school-based staff. Also affected are the Council, which is responsible for maintaining schools, academy trusts and Roman Catholic and Church of England diocese, in respect of voluntary controlled and voluntary aided schools.

Screening

Is there any actual or potential negative or positive impact on the following protected characteristics?				
Protected Characteristic	Negative Impact Indicate: Y = Yes, N = No, ? = unsure	Positive Impact Indicate: Y = Yes, N = No, ? = unsure		
Age	N	N		
Disability	N	Y		
Marriage and civil partnership (workplace only)	N	N		
Pregnancy and maternity	N	N		
Race (ethnicity)	N	N		
Religion or Belief	N	N		
Sex (gender)	N	N		
Sexual orientation	N	N		
Transgender	N	N		

Please provide brief details of any potential to cause adverse imp	act. Record full
details and analysis in the following section of this assessment.	

How will this policy/proposal/practice promote our commitment to our legal responsibilities under the public sector equality duty to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation,
- · advance equality of opportunity, and
- foster good relations between people from different groups?

The formula includes factors which act as proxy measures for pupils who are likely to need additional support to achieve the expected level of attainment, which will contribute to increasing equality of opportunity.

The formula does not differentiate between pupils from different groups and allocates funding on the basis of factors that are likely to be relevant to their educational needs.

Evidence

What evidence do you have to support your findings?

Please **outline** your data sets and/or proposed evidence sources, highlight any gaps and say whether or not you propose to carry out consultation. Record greater detail and analysis in the following section of this assessment.

NFF EIA (see link above)

Analysis in respect of faith schools (attached)

Screening Summary

On the basis of this screening is there:	Confirm which
	refers (Y/N)
Evidence of actual or potential impact on some/all of the protected characteristics which will proceed to full assessment?	Y
No evidence of actual or potential impact on some/all of the protected characteristics?	N

Sign Off

Lead officer sign off:	Date:
David Shirer	18 November 2019
Service equality representative sign off:	Date:
Mary Gallagher	19 November 2019

If carrying out a full assessment please proceed to section two.

Section Two: Data analysis and assessment of impact

Please provide details on impacts for people with different protected characteristics relevant to your screening findings. You need to decide if there is or likely to be a differential impact for some. Highlight the positives e.g. benefits for certain groups, advancing equality, as well as the negatives e.g. barriers for and/or exclusion of particular groups. Record the evidence you have used to support or explain your conclusions. Devise and record mitigating actions where necessary.

Protected Characteristic	: Age				
What is the actual or					
potential impact on					
stakeholders?		impa	ct.		required?
No adverse impact		incre (rece (Yea 10 to norm author reco (per p pupil stage comp incre subje teach exan	rs 7 to 9) to 11). This all practice in prince and the progress the progress, the progress, requirect experts, thing facilities in progress the progress of the	rimary ar 6) to KS3 KS4 (Years is in line with n most ne NFF and ncreasing co get older: A through key dth and e curriculum ring more specialist s and s expenditure	st s
Basic funding per pupil in 2020-21 formula options (£ / pupil)	Primary KS3 KS4				
Non-faith schools	2,9	04.05	4,017.43	4,759.79	
Faith schools	2,9	04.05	4,017.43	4,759.79	

Protected Characteristic: Disability			
What is the actual or potential impact on stakeholders?	Explain your conclusion considering relevant evidence and consultation	What further action or mitigation is required?	
Limited positive impact	Most funding to meet the needs of children with disabilities is provided separately to this formula.	None	
The transitional formula will increase the proportion of funding allocated to Low Prior Attainment (LPA), which is one of the DfE's proxy indicators for Special Educational Needs (SEN).	The proportion of LPA allocated funding will increase from 4.8% in the 2019-20 formula to 6.1% in the transitional formula.		

Protected Characteristic: Marriage and civil partnership (workplace only)			
What is the actual or	Explain your conclusion What further action		
potential impact on	considering relevant evidence	or mitigation is	
stakeholders?	and consultation required?		
N/A			

Protected Characteristic: Pregnancy and maternity				
What is the actual or	hat is the actual or Explain your conclusion What further actio			
potential impact on	considering relevant evidence	or mitigation is		
stakeholders?	and consultation required?			
None	This is not relevant to school funding	None		

Protected Characteristic: Race (ethnicity)				
What is the actual or	Explain your conclusion	What further action		
potential impact on	considering relevant evidence	or mitigation is		
stakeholders?	and consultation	required?		
Limited positive impact		None		
The transitional formula will include a factor in respect of pupils with English as an Additional Language providing slightly more resources to schools for such pupils.	Support is provided centrally for schools in respect of these pupils, but this change will mean that the formula will allocate relatively more resources to schools with relatively more pupils recorded as having had English as an Additional Language in the past three years.			
The transitional formula will include a mobility factor, which is potentially relevant to Gypsy/Roma pupils and pupils of Irish traveller heritage.	This factor was not used in the formula in previous years, because the funding allocated to Durham for the formula did not include any allocation for mobility. The funding for 2020-21 will include funding for mobility and this will be included as part of the transitional formula.			
	Support for Gypsy/Roma pupils and pupils of Irish traveller heritage is available through centrally funded service provided by the Council, which responds to specific needs, as opposed to a formula allocation based on lagged data.			

Protected Characteristic: Religion or belief				
What is the actual or	Explain your conclusion	What further action		
potential impact on stakeholders?	considering relevant evidence and consultation	or mitigation is required?		
Ctartorioro.	and concatation	roquirou:		
The formula is applied to Roman Catholic primary and secondary schools and Church of England primary schools (there are no Church of England secondary schools). The formula does not differentiate between schools according to whether they are faith schools or not.	The proportion of pupils who are eligible for funding through additional needs factors is higher for non-faith schools in most categories which is predominately why faith schools will see a smaller increase in funding per pupil. See 'Annex A' accompanying this EIA	None		
Funding per pupil for faith schools is less than for other schools, but this is a result of applying the formula, which takes account of additional needs (deprivation, English as an Additional Language, mobility and Low Prior Attainment), where faith schools tend to have fewer eligible pupils.				

Protected Characteristic: Sex	(gender)			
What is the actual or potential impact on stakeholders?	Explain your conclusion considering relevant evidence and consultation What further actio or mitigation is required?			
The formula does not differentiate between pupils on this basis and there are no single-sex schools affected by the formula		None		

Protected Characteristic: Sexual orientation					
What is the actual or	Explain your conclusion	What further action			
potential impact on	considering relevant evidence	or mitigation is			
stakeholders?	and consultation	required?			
None	The formula does not differentiate between pupils on this basis	None			

Protected Characteristic: Transgender						
What is the actual or	Explain your conclusion What further act					
potential impact on	considering relevant evidence	or mitigation is				
stakeholders?	and consultation	required?				
None	The formula does not differentiate between pupils on this basis	None				

Section Three: Conclusion and Review

Summary

Please provide a brief summary of your findings stating the main impacts, both positive and negative, across the protected characteristics.

With the exception of age, the formula does not differentiate according to protected characteristics. The differentiation in respect of age is in accordance with common practice and recognises differences in the provision required by pupils of different ages. There is a small positive impact in relation to disability as the transitional formula will increase the proportion of funding allocated to Low Prior

Attainment (LPA), which is one of the DfE's proxy indicators for Special Educational Needs (SEN).

Faith schools generally receive less funding than non-faith schools. The formula does not differentiate between schools but does take account of additional needs and school size in calculating allocations. A comparison of faith and non-faith schools supports a view that differences between these types of school is a result of differences in the proportion of pupils who are eligible for additional needs funding in pupil characteristics and school size.

Will this promote positive relationships between different communities? If so how?

No impact expected

Action Plan

Action	Responsibility	Timescales for implementation	In which plan will the action appear?
None required	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Review

Are there any additional assessments that need to be undertaken? (Y/N)	Analysis of gender declared on school
	censuses
When will this assessment be reviewed?	November 2020 – In line
Please also insert this date at the front of the template	with the setting of the schools formula for
	2021/22

Sign Off

Lead officer sign off:	Date:
David Shirer	19 November 2019
Service equality representative sign off:	Date:
Mary Gallagher	20 November 2019

Annex A:

Protected Characteristic: Religion or belief

- 1. The formula is applied to Roman Catholic primary and secondary schools and Church of England primary schools (there are no Church of England secondary schools). The formula does not differentiate between schools according to whether they are faith schools or not. At present formulas are set locally, but the National Funding Formula (NFF) will replace local formulas sometime after 2020-21.
- 2. Since 2018-19 the local formula in Durham has used a transitional version, which recognises the need to reduce differences between the local formula and the NFF, in order to avoid excessive funding turbulence when the NFF replaces local formulas, whilst also not making commitments so far in advance of confirmation of the date of the replacement of local formulas and the final version of the NFF.
- 3. Table 1 shows average funding per pupil, broken down between faith and non-faith schools and primary and secondary. Funding per pupil is more for non-faith schools for both primary and secondary:

Table 1					
Average funding per pupil (£, rounded)	Faith schools	Non- faith schools			
Primary	4,600	5,100			
Secondary	5,300	5,700			

4. This pattern is repeated for average pupil-led funding. Pupil-led funding includes the basic amount per pupil plus funding for additional pupil needs (deprivation, low prior attainment, English as an Additional Language and mobility):

Table 2						
Average pupil-led funding per pupil (£, rounded)	Faith schools	Non- faith schools				
Primary	3,600	3,800				
Secondary	5,100	5,400				

- 5. Basic funding per pupil is the same for all schools, whether faith or non-faith. The rates of funding per pupil for additional pupil needs are the same for all schools but the proportion of pupils who are eligible for additional needs funding varies between schools and for most needs the proportion is greater for non-faith schools, which is why the pupil-led funding per pupil is greater for non-faith schools. A breakdown by formula factor is shown in Appendix B.
- 6. Funding is also provided for school-led factors, principally an amount per school, (lump sum), plus amounts for schools in sparsely populated areas, schools with split-sites and a school that shares facilities with a leisure centre. These factors are allocated on the same basis across all primary and all secondary schools, regardless of whether they are faith schools or not, according to whether they meet the criteria for eligibility. The only differences are in the lump sums per school between primary and secondary schools.
- 7. The school-led funding per pupil for faith and non-faith schools is shown below, along with the numbers of pupils on roll recorded for the purposes of determining formula funding:

Table 3							
	Average s		Average on R	number Roll			
	Faith schools	Non- faith schools	Faith schools	Non- faith schools			
Primary	983	1,209	162	194			
Secondary	144	208	1,003	805			

- 8. It might be expected that school-led funding per pupil would be greater for the category with fewer pupils, because school-led funding is spread over fewer pupils than in larger schools. Table 3 shows that this is the case for secondary schools, but not primary schools, for which, despite the average number of pupils being smaller in faith schools, the average funding per pupil is less than for non-faith schools.
- 9. The figures for non-faith schools are distorted by four schools with pupil-led funding of more than £5,000 per pupil. This is partly because they are very small, with numbers on roll of six, eight, thirteen and

twenty-three pupils, and partly because these schools all qualify for sparsity funding. If these schools are excluded, the funding per pupil for non-faith schools is £838 for primary schools, which is less than the funding per pupil for faith primary schools.

10. Schools are also affected by a requirement to provide funding at a Minimum Per Pupil Funding level and the Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG). These apply equally to faith and non-faith schools. The MPPF is part of the NFF and is mandatory for local formulas. This is assessed as part of the EIA for the NFF, which can be accessed through:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-funding-formula-for-schools-and-high-needs (Pages 17 to 19)

11. The impact of MFG funding has not been assessed, because of its limited impact. A summary of the impact is shown below:

Table 4							
	Average s		Average on R	number Roll			
	Faith schools	Non- faith schools	Faith schools	Non- faith schools			
Primary	7,600	(3,300)	5	16			
Secondary	_	15,200	-	1			

12. The average for non-faith primary schools is a negative number, because MFG adjustments include the impact of capping increases in funding per pupil. None of the faith schools had a negative MFG adjustment.

Annex B:

Pupils entitled to additional pupil-led funding		Faith schools	Non- faith schools	Diff- erence	
Free School Meals	Prim	15.36%	26.89%	-11.53%	Pupils who were recorded as eligible for FSM on the
Free Scrioor Wears	Sec	12.38%	21.19%	-8.82%	preceding October's School Census
FSM Ever6	Prim	20.93%	34.91%	-13.98%	Pupils who have been recorded as eligible for FSM
T GWI EVOID	Sec	22.09%	36.22%	-14.13%	on any School Census in the past six years
IDACI Band G	Prim	51.67%	38.04%	13.63%	The pupils least likely to suffer deprivation on the basis of the Income Deprivation Affecting Children
IB/(GI Baila C	Sec	45.52%	39.70%	5.82%	Index – these pupils are not eligible for deprivation funding through this factor
IDACI Band F		15.24%	15.53%	-0.29%	
IDACI Band E	Prim	10.42%	17.73%	-7.31%	
IDACI Band D		10.53%	14.09%	-3.57%	
IDACI Band C		8.08%	12.21%	-4.13%	
IDACI Band B		12.43%	11.89%	0.54%	
IDACI Band A		9.32%	12.67%	-3.34%	Pupils eligible for IDACI funding. Those in band F are
IDACI Band F		14.96%	12.92%	2.03%	the least likely to suffer deprivation
IDACI Band E		12.87%	15.07%	-2.19%	
IDACI Band D	Sec	10.44%	11.77%	-1.33%	
IDACI Band C		6.70%	8.06%	-1.36%	
IDACI Band B		6.27%	8.12%	-1.85%	
IDACI Band A		4.32%	7.15%	-2.82%	
English as an Additional	Prim	3.36%	2.30%	1.06%	Pupils recorded as not having English as a first language in
Language	Sec	0.20%	0.58%	-0.38%	the last three years

Pupils entitled to additional pupil-led funding		Faith schools	Non- faith schools	Diff- erence	
Mobility	Prim	4.46%	5.87%	-1.41%	Pupils who have moved
Mobility	Sec	1.90%	3.57%	-1.67%	during the academic year
Low Prior	Prim	33.20%	37.97%	-4.77%	Pupils who have not reached
Attainment	Sec	16.44%	20.14%	-3.70%	the expected standard in their previous phase of education

13. Note that the proportions of pupils who are eligible for funding through these factors is higher for non-faith schools in most categories. Note also that the proportion of pupils in IDACI Band G, who are not eligible for funding, because they have the lowest probability of suffering deprivation, is higher for faith schools, particularly primary schools.